

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STAFES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stafes Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. By 1450 Alexand har Virginia 22313-1450 www.usbto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,633	•	03/22/2004	Robert Tod Dimpsey	AUS920040062US1	2625	
35525	7590	08/16/2006		EXAMINER		
IBM COI	RP (YA)		MOORE, PATRICK M			
C/O YEE P.O. BOX	& ASSOCIA 802333	ATES PC	ART UNIT PAPER NUM			
	TX 7538	0	2188			
				DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/806,633 DIMPSEY ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Patrick M. Moore 2188 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Patrick M. Moore. (2) Gerald H. Glanzman (Reg # 25,035). Date of Interview: 08 August 2006. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1-8,10-18,20,21 and 23-26. Identification of prior art discussed: Hervin et al. (US Patent # 5,805,897). Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS

INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

> REGINALD BRAGDON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

Roquell 15. Brank

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the Interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Interview with Applicant's Attorney, Mr. Glanzman, touched on four topics: First, the IDS dated 30 June 2005 included a reference (citation BJ, by Kikuchi) that does not appear to include an english translation or summary and, therefore, has not been considered by Examiner. Next, Applicant's Attorney suggested that the 35 USC 112(2nd ¶) rejection of Claims 4, 15 & 24 may have been improper as they appear to have anticedant support. Examiner agreed that a withdrawl of the rejection from section 3.b. of the Office Action (dated 15 May 2006) could be appropriate. Third, discussion of rejections pertaining to 35 USC 101 indicated that including 'execution' on a computer readable medium that does not include transmission waves would, most likely, overcome the rejection of Claims 23-26, as per Section 4.a. of the Office Action (dated 15 May 2006). However, appropriate correction of claims 23-26 is still required. Finally, discussion of the prior art of record was focussed on the dynamic [emphasis added] accessing of data and specificly mentioned Column 11, Lines 65-67 of Hervin et al. (US Patent # 5,805,879). However, no agreement was reached with regards to the claim language in view of the prior art of record.

Yee & Associates, P.C.

4100 Alpha Road Suite 1100 Dallas, Texas 75244 Main No. (972) 385-8777 Facsimile (972) 385-7766

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

To: Commissioner for Patents for Examiner Patrick M. Moore Group Art Unit 2188	Facsimile No. 571/273-1239
From: Michele Morrow Legal Assistant to Gerald H. Glanzman	No. of Pages Including Cover Sheet: 3

Enclosed herewith:

- Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (PTOL-413A); and
- Agenda for Telephone Interview.

Re: Application Serial No. 10/806,633 Attorney Docket No. AUS920040062US1

Date: Tuesday, July 25, 2006

Please contact us at (972) 385-8777 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.

This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadvertently and in error. Any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the facsimile to us immediately.

PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS TRANSMISSION BY FAXING A CONFIRMATION TO 972-385-7766.

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0861-0031
U.S. Patern and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form									
Application No.: 10)/806,633	First Named Applican	Status of Application: 1st Office Action						
Examiner: Patrick M. I	Moore	Art Unit: 2188							
Tentative Participa (1)_Gerald H. Glanzi	nts: man	(2)							
(3)		(4)							
Proposed Date of Interview: August 8, 2006 Proposed Time: 1:00 CDT (AM/PM)									
Type of Interview Requested: (1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference									
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES If yes, provide brief description:									
Issues To Be Discussed									
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed				
(1) 101 Rej.	23-26	Art							
(2) 102 Rej.	1-8, 10-18, 21-20	Hervin							
(3)									
(4) Continuation Sh	eet Attached								
Brief Description o	Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:								
NOTE: This form sl (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will interview. Therefore as soon as possible.	nould be complete not be delayed fro , applicant is advi	above-identified applicated by applicant and submit on issue because of applicated to file a statement of the	ted to the exami nt's failure to s	ubmit a written	record of this				
Applicant/Applica Gerald H. G Typed/Printed Nam 25,035 Registration	lanzman 👅	Representative	Exan	niner/SPE Sign	ature				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a hencit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Offices 11.5. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FRES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application: Dimpsey et al.

Serial No.: 10/806,633

Group Art Unit: 2188

Filed: March 22, 2004

Examiner: Moore, Patrick M.

For: Method and Apparatus for Providing Hardware Assistance for Attorney Docket No.: AUS920040062US1

Data Access Coverage on Dynamically

Allocated Data

AGENDA FOR TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

§

Sir:

I would like to request a telephone interview on August 8, 2006 at 1:00 pm CDT. Please consider the following topic for discussion:

Hervin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,805,879)

The Examiner is invited to call at the below-listed telephone number to confirm or reschedule the requested telephone interview.

Gerald H. Glanzman

Reg. No. 25,035

Yee & Associates, P.C.

P.O. Box 802333

Dallas, TX 75380 (972) 385-8777

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS